



Go

Erlang and Go

(CS262a, Berkeley Fall 2016)

Philipp Moritz

The Problem

Distributed computation is hard!

- State
 - Hard to do recovery, dependency on order of execution
- Concurrency and Synchronization
 - Hard to reason about, deadlocks
- Fault handling
 - Error origin and handling in different parts of the system
- Complexity of coupled components
 - Makes it hard to develop software in large teams

**This lecture is about languages/tools that
make it easier to write distributed programs**

Erlang

- Developed at Ericson as a **proprietary language** to improve development of **telephony applications**
- High availability of **nine “9”s** (30 ms downtime per year)
- 1986: initial version in **Prolog**
- 1992: BEAM (High performance VM)
- since 1998: **Open source**



named after **Agner Krarup Erlang**, mathematician and inventor of queuing theory

Erlang: Requirements

- Designed for telecommunication systems
- Hard requirements:
 - High degree of concurrency
 - Distributed
 - Soft real-time capabilities
 - 100% availability
- Soft requirements:
 - Hot swapping code

Erlang: Philosophy

Requirements

- 100% availability

Decision

Erlang: Philosophy

Requirements

- 100% availability

Decision

- strong fault recovery
 - hierarchy of tasks for recovery
 - isolation between tasks
 - dynamic code upgrade

Erlang: Philosophy

Requirements

- 100% availability
- **portability** (e.g. to embedded devices)

Decision

- strong fault recovery
 - hierarchy of tasks for recovery
 - isolation between tasks
 - dynamic code upgrade

Erlang: Philosophy

Requirements

- 100% availability
- **portability** (e.g. to embedded devices)

Decision

- strong fault recovery
 - hierarchy of tasks for recovery
 - isolation between tasks
 - dynamic code upgrade
- **agnostic to OS**
 - **green processes**
 - **doesn't use OS services**

Erlang: Philosophy

Requirements

- 100% availability
- portability (e.g. to embedded devices)
- **high concurrency**
 - **soft real-time**

Decision

- strong fault recovery
 - hierarchy of tasks for recovery
 - isolation between tasks
 - dynamic code upgrade
- agnostic to OS
 - green processes
 - doesn't use OS services

Erlang: Philosophy

Requirements

- 100% availability
- portability (e.g. to embedded devices)
- **high concurrency**
 - **soft real-time**

Decision

- strong fault recovery
 - hierarchy of tasks for recovery
 - isolation between tasks
 - dynamic code upgrade
- agnostic to OS
 - green processes
 - doesn't use OS services
- **very lightweight processes, communicate via channels**
 - **share nothing**
 - **asynchronous calls**

Design Tradeoffs



Performance

Security/Isolation

Erlang is a safe language (cf. SPIN)

- fast IPC (same address space)
- isolation via language semantics



Concurrency

Maintainability

Decoupling components with “Share nothing” semantics

Erlang: Error handling

- Crash early
- Let some other process do the error recovery
- Do not program defensively
 - If you cannot handle the error, don't try to recover

Erlang: Concurrency

- **Distributed actor model** (asynchronous message passing)
- Exposed via spawning processes and asynchronous message passing between them

```
% invoke web:start_server(Port, MaxConns)
ServerProcess = spawn(web, start_server, [Port,
MaxConns]),

% invoke web:start_server on machine RemoteNode
RemoteProcess = spawn(RemoteNode, web, start_server,
[Port, MaxConns]),

% Send a message to ServerProcess (asynchronously).
ServerProcess ! {pause, 10},

% Receive messages sent to this process
receive
    a_message -> do_something;
    {data, DataContent} -> handle(DataContent);
    {hello, Text} -> io:format(...);
    {goodbye, Text} -> io:format(...)
end.
```

Erlang: Example

Server client example

Erlang: Implementation

- Green processes (can launch millions of them)
 - mapped to OS threads
 - Support priorities
- Preemptive scheduler (every ~2000 function calls)
 - native C code needs to be instrumented to pass control to VM
 - IO threads to handle blocking IO
- robust and well tested
 - has been used in critical infrastructure by multiple companies
 - minimal dependence on OS
- <https://github.com/erlang/otp>

<https://hamidreza-s.github.io/erlang/scheduling/real-time/preemptive/migration/2016/02/09/erlang-scheduler-details.html>

Does Erlang achieve its goals?

Erlang: Impact

- Highly commercially successful in telecom industry
 - Ericson
 - Nortel
 - T-Mobile
- WhatsApp
- Facebook chat (200 Mio users)
- Elixir
- RabbitMQ



Go: History

- Started as an experiment at Google to design a language that would solve challenges that come up in large scale software development
- First appeared 2009, first stable release in 2011



Rob Pike, co-creator of Go

Go: Motivation

- Developing large software components with large team is hard
 - Slow builds
 - Dependencies and libraries
 - Complex languages, everybody uses a different subset
- Developing distributed software is even harder
 - Concurrency not natively supported by many existing languages

Influence from Plan 9

- Plan 9 from Bell Labs:
 - Everything is a file
 - Special C dialect:
 - No recursive #includes
 - Unicode
 - Distributed (byte oriented protocol 9P to exchange data between nodes)
- Compiler infrastructure shared



Standardization and Tooling

- One way to do things (cf. Python)
- Standardized tooling:
 - `go get`: Package manager integrated with the language and github
 - `go fmt`: Put code into a standard format
 - `go test`: Unit testing and microbenchmarks
 - `go vet`: Static analysis and linting
 - `go fix`: Automatically update APIs and language constructs
- Statically linked binaries

Simplicity

- Few concepts that are orthogonal and composable:
 - Concurrency
 - Goroutines (execution)
 - Channels (communication)
 - Select (coordination)
 - Object oriented programming
 - Interfaces (contracts)
 - structs (data)
 - functions (code)
- No Templates/Generics (instead: interface {})
- No exceptions
- One type of loop

<https://talks.golang.org/2015/simplicity-is-complicated.slide>

Go Concurrency

- Goroutines are **lightweight threads** that share the **same address space**
- Communication happens over channels
- More permissive than Erlangs: Can pass pointers over channels

```
func sum(s []int, c chan int) {  
    sum := 0  
    for _, v := range s {  
        sum += v  
    }  
    c <- sum // send sum to c  
}
```

```
func main() {  
    s := []int{7, 2, 8, -9, 4, 0}  
  
    c := make(chan int)  
    go sum(s[:len(s)/2], c)  
    go sum(s[len(s)/2:], c)  
    x, y := <-c, <-c // receive from c  
    fmt.Println(x, y, x+y)  
}
```

Go Interfaces

```
type geometry interface {  
    area() float64  
    perim() float64  
}
```

```
type rect struct {  
    width, height float64  
}
```

```
func (r rect) area() float64 {  
    return r.width * r.height  
}
```

```
func (r rect) perim() float64 {  
    return 2*r.width + 2*r.height  
}
```

```
type circle struct {  
    radius float64  
}
```

```
func (c circle) area() float64 {  
    return math.Pi * c.radius * c.radius  
}
```

```
func (c circle) perim() float64 {  
    return 2 * math.Pi * c.radius  
}
```

Go Interfaces

- `interface {}`
- `Reader` implements `Read`
- `Writer` implements `Write`
- `Stringer` implements `String`
- `Formatter` implements `Format`

There are lots of interfaces in the standard library and in external libraries

<http://sweetohm.net/article/go-interfaces.en.html>

Discussion: Does Go achieve its goals?

Go success stories

- Docker
- Kubernetes
- etcd
- Google: components of youtube.com and also dl.google.com
- Many companies are using it for distributed applications:
 - Uber
 - Dropbox
 - Netflix